| Current UBC Composition per website Including notes on current Faculty Handbook language and 2008 Task Force Report  | Most recent Senate Recommendation passed after Task Force report and making reference to that document  | Updated URPC Proposal (University Senate Committee) November 9, 2010  |
|--|---|---|
| <ul> <li>TF rec. has 1 less Academic Senate rep<br/>than is listed in 2009 Faculty Handbook</li> <li>Chair has varied; Task force recommended<br/>two facilitators; Handbook lists Chair as<br/>AVP for Business Services</li> </ul>   | April 2008 Resolution 11-07/08 EX   | Reflects review of internal documents,<br>external reports (Madddox and WASC), as well<br>as SDSU University Senate committee and<br>CSULB Budget committee structures  |
| Chair (votes to break a tie): Provost Members (all voting): 3 Faculty appointed by the Provost in consultation with the Deans 1 Academic Senate Finance Officer 1 Academic Affairs Representative 1 Student Affairs Representative 1 Administrative Affairs Representative 1 University Advancement Representative 1 Staff Representative selected by Staff Council 1 Associated Student President or Designee Advisors (non-voting): University Budget Director One Budget Analyst form each division | 2 Facilitators (non-voting): as rec. by Task F. 3 Faculty 1 from each college elected by fac. 1 Faculty appointed by Provost in consultation with Senate exec. And Deans 1 Academic Senate Finance Officer 1 Academic Affairs Representative 1 Student Affairs Representative 1 Administrative Affairs Representative 1 University Advancement Representative 1 Staff Representative selected by Staff Council 1 Associated Student President or Designee Advisors (non-voting): University Budget Director One Budget Analyst form each division | Co-chaired by Fac. Senator and Provost  3 Faculty reps appointed by Senate Appointments committee to balance college representation including a lecturer  2 faculty senators (one is co-chair-votes only to break tie)  1 Academic Dean  1 Student Affairs Representative  1 Administrative Affairs Representative  1 University Advancement Representative Dean of Library or designee Chief Information Officer or designee 2 Staff Representatives elected by Staff Council 2 Students: AS President or designee and another student appointed by AS Advisors (non-voting): University Budget Director One Budget Analyst form each division |
| <ul> <li>Recommendations and comments:</li> <li>Composition "should be based on purpose and charge, not representation of particular divisions and colleges."</li> <li>Members have training on budget in the fall</li> <li>Decision making should occur by</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Concurred and expanded to include<br/>binder and training program for new</li> <li>Concurred and expanded to include</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Changed from VPs to Division representatives based on Task Force rec.</li> <li>Total number of faculty is consistent with Handbook and Senate resolution; is one more than Task Force Recommendation</li> <li>Increased student and staff positions by 1 each</li> </ul>   |

#### consensus

- Members are expected to apply University wide perspective
- Staggered 3-year terms of office

### Charge:

### Task Force (2008)

- Become competently informed about the University budget, the budget process and budget policies
- 2. Be the oversight body on campus responsible for monitoring the campus budget throughout the year. Such monitoring should include but not be limited to quarterly reports from the University Budget Office on budgeted revenues and expenditures relative to actual of agreed upon budget categories
- Provide timely communication to the Academic Senate, President, University Executive Committee, and the campus community on budget issues, through the internet and other media as appropriate
- 4. Recommend to the President in accordance with the University Strategic Plan, allocation of unbudgeted funds including enrollment growth funds and provide the methodology by which the recommendations be determined
- Review the divisions' plans to reduce services when budget reductions are required and report finding to the President and the University Executive Committee
- 6. Advise the President on general budget

- making record of votes to be forwarded with recommendations when voting occurs
- Concurred with staggered 3 year terms
- Develop year-round oversight role for monitoring budget (Task Force 2) AND division leaders should report annually what they achieved with money allocated relative to projected goals and objectives
- 8. Know on an annual basis how all budgeted and unbudgeted funds were spent including review of quarterly reports of revenues and expenditures relative to actual of agreed upon budget categories (Task Force 2)
- 9. Advise the President on general budget policy (Task Force 6)
- 10. Campus budget priorities should be linked to the University's Strategic Plan (Task Force (Task Force 4)
- 11. Recommend to the President allocation of unbudgeted funds, in accordance with the University's strategic plan (Task Force 4)
- 12. Be included in discussions of how budget reductions are to be distributed (in terms of principles to be used for budget reductions as well as percentage distributions among divisions) prior to division leaders developing plans to reduce their division budgets (expands on Task Force 5)
- 13. Review all division plans to reduce services when budget reductions are required, relative to the University

- Added Dean of Library and Chief Information Officer or designee
- Concur with consensus, training and staggered term recommendations
- 1. The University Resources and Planning Committee (URPC) shall serve as the primary advisory body to the University Senate, and through the Senate, to the University Administrative Officers concerning the allocation of University resources. (CSUSD, CSULB and Senate Rec.)
  - 1.1. The URPC shall serve as the primary University level forum for community discussion of fiscal issues
  - 1.2. The Senate may refer fiscal issues to this committee for analysis and advice.
  - 1.3. The Senate may delegate its recommending authority to the URPC for direct recommendations to University Administrative Officers on specific budget actions, but not on policies, regulations or procedures which shall be recommended by action of the Senate
  - 1.4. Any action taken by the committee on the basis of delegated authority shall be reported to the Senate for review at the next Senate meeting
  - 1.5. The URPC may, with the approval of the Senate, establish sub-committees and ad hoc committees to facilitate its work

policy.

## Handbook (2009)

- Advise President and VPs on general budget policy issues that affect the University;
- Become informed regarding the annual budget of the University;
- Determine a methodology to use in the evaluation of augmentation requests;
- Make recommendations regard proposed budget allocations/de-allocations, including divisional plans to reduce services when budget reductions are required.

# Current website description includes following language not in handbook:

- 1. Help plan for upcoming year, not manage current year expenditures
- Review an annual budget plan from President and VP and highlight areas of agreement and recommend changes to the budget plan
- 3. Complete its deliberations on the budget for the coming year within 45 days after the Governor submits an annual budget to the legislature
- 4. Review divisional use of new funding initiatives from the prior funding year
- 5. Communicate budget issues to their colleagues
- Be able to reach consensus on issues. If significant differences cannot be resolved, document the various perspectives for the President and Vice Presidents.

- strategic plan priorities, and report recommendations to the President and the University Executive Committee (Task Force 5)
- 14. Provide timely communication to the campus community and inprove its web site content (including the quality of its minutes) (Task Force 3)
- 15. **Recommendation 9** It <u>is</u> an appropriate role for the Academic Senate to review the HSU Budget Policy, and its addendum, and recommend any needed changes or follow-up on previous recommendation included in the Policy. (Disagrees with the Task Force report in this regard)

#### The URPC shall

- review and make recommendations concerning annual budget allocations, including division allocations and unallocated funds, and advise administrators on priorities for the use of those funds
- Monitor budget throughout the year reviewing how budgeted and unbudgeted funds were spent, including review of quarterly reports relative to agreed budget categories and priorities;
- 4. Review and set fiscal priorities and strategies in support of the University vision and Strategic Plan and evaluate consistency of resource allocations (both budgeted and unbudgeted funds including enrollment growth funds) with that vision and plan.
- 5. Review accountability studies and budget and expenditure outcomes and impacts; (Maddox) Receive, review and advise on annual reports from division leaders concerning achievements relative to projected goals and objectives (Senate rec.)
- 6. Be included in discussions of how budget reductions are to be distributed (in terms of principles to be used for budget reductions as well as percentage distributions among divisions) prior to division leaders developing plans to reduce their division budgets (Senate Rec.)

| 71 |  |
|----|--|
|    |  |

| 12  | <ol> <li>Review and make recommendations with regard to all division plans to reduce services when budget reductions are required, relative to the University strategic plan priorities (Task Force #5)</li> <li>Serve as the consultative body on long term fiscal planning</li> <li>Assist in the development of proposals for non-traditional funding</li> <li>Annually evaluate the fiscal mission of the University, including internal and external reviews, and make budgetary recommendations on planning and any other issues arising from reviews.</li> <li>Advise the President on general budget policy</li> </ol> |
|-----|--|
| 12. |  |