HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY

University Senate Written Reports, February 20, 2018 Standing Committees, Statewide Senators and Ex-officio Members

Academic Policies Committee:

Submitted by Kerri Malloy, APC Chair

Committee Members:

Michael Goodman, Stephanie Burkhalter, Ramesh Adhikari, Heather Madar, Michael Le, Rock Braithwaite, Mary Virnoche, Clint Rebik, Kerri Malloy, (recruiting for student members).

Meeting Dates for Spring 2018: Meeting time: 11AM-11:50AM Meeting Place: BSS 402

 January
 24

 February
 7, 21

 March
 7, 21

 April
 4, 18

 May
 2

Committee Meetings Reports:

February 7

- Committee reviewed responses of to inquiries regarding the request from the College of Professional Studies to change the Department of Social Work to the School of Social Work. The committee forwarded the request to SenEx for placement on the University Senate agenda.
- Christine Mata from the Office of Student Rights and Responsibilities presented and took
 questions on recommended changes to the Classroom Disruptive Behavior Policy and the
 Academic Integrity Policy. Additional information and draft language will be forth coming.
- Discussion on informational item regarding a change in the TOFEL score from the Office of the Provost that will be on a future University Senate agenda.

January 14

- Committee reviewed a request from the College of Professional Studies to change the
 Department of Social Work to the School of Social Work. Further information was requested
 before the recommendation is forwarded to the University Senate.
- Committee reviewed draft changes to the Disruptive Behavior Policy.

December 6:

- Committee reviewed changes to the Syllabus Policy to incorporate accessible technology initiative requirements for accessible syllabi.
- Initiated discussion on a request to review Appendix R Student Grievance for possible updates.

October 11:

- Committee reviewed the Course Numbering Policy via email and forwarded it on the ICC for reviews.
- Committee will be discussion revisions to:
 - Academic Honesty Policy proposed by the Dean of Students
 - Syllabus Policy as part of bringing the campus into compliance with the Accessible Technologies Initiative

September 27:

- Committee provided feedback and questions on the proposed Advising Policy.
- Committee will be sending forward revisions to the Course Numbering Policy to reflect the elimination of remedial course.

September 13:

- Committee completed the review, edited the Posthumous Degree Policy, and will be sending it forward to the Senate for a first reading.
- Committee reviewed the draft of the Advising Policy. This item took up the bulk of the meeting and will be the main item at the September 17 meeting.

August 30:

Committee reviewed and discussed the Posthumous Degree Policy

Inquiries:

Add/Drop Date Report:

The Committee is gathering the necessary information to prepare and send to the University Senate the first annual report on the impacts of the decoupling of the Add/Drop from the Census date.

Add/Drop Date

Inquiry on the Add/Drop date being on holiday. Internal discussion on the number of exceptional add/drops that may be a result of this, the date not always being on holiday, and that student have access to their Student Center 24/7. Registrar indicates there has not been an uptick since due to the Add/Drop date landing on holiday.

Discussion with the Academic Technology Faculty Contributors (formerly known as the Canvas Faculty Contributors) to have global messages to students posted on dashboards that indicate upcoming academic deadlines:

- Add/Drop
- o Credit/No Credit
- Final Day to Withdraw

Students would see the notice when they log into Canvas and would be posted a week before the deadline.

Also, there was a discussion with Academic Technology Faculty Contributors on integrating the academic calendar into the Canvas calendar for students and faculty.

Appointments and Elections Committee:

Submitted by Katia Karadjova, AEC Chair

<u>Updated Spring 2018 General Faculty Election Results and AEC Appointments</u>

Faculty Elected Positions:

GENERAL FACULTY President, 2 year term

Stephanie Burkhalter

GENERAL FACULTY Representative to the ASCSU, 3 year term

Noah Zerbe

INTEGRATED CURRICULUM COMMITTEE (ICC)

Chair, Standing Committee on General Ed & All-University Requirements (GEAR) Curriculum and Assessment

Julia Alderson – Faculty Member (At-Large), 3 year term

Subcommittee on Course and Degree Changes (CDC)

Ramesh Adhikari - Faculty Member (CNRS), 3 year term

PLANNING COMMITTEE FOR RESEARCH, SCHOLARSHIP AND CREATIVE ACTIVITIES (PCRSC)

Claire Till - Faculty Member from CNRS, 2 year term

George Wrenn - Faculty Member from the University Library, 2 year term

PROFESSIONAL LEAVE COMMITTEE

• Tenured Faculty Member, 1 year term

Rae Robison

SPONSORED PROGRAMS FOUNDATION BOARD

Candidates are elected by faculty and recommended to the President for final appointment.

• Two Faculty Members, 4 year terms

Carly Marino

Harold Zald

UNIVERSITY FACULTY PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (UFPC)

• Faculty Member (At-Large), 2 year term

Joshua Meisel

Faculty Member CPS, 1 year term

Christopher Aberson

UNIVERSITY SENATE

Lecturer Faculty Delegate (Colleges, Library, Counseling, Coaches), 3 year term

Jeff Dunk

Tenure Line At-Large Faculty Delegate, 3 year term

Ara Pachmayer

Tenure Line CNRS Instructional Faculty Delegate, 1 year term

Lucy Kerhoulas

Tenure Line CAHSS Instructional Faculty Delegate, 3 year term

James Woglom

.....

Appointment and Elections Committee Appointed Positions:

ACADEMIC POLICIES COMMITTEE

• Faculty Member, 3 year term

Kayla Begay

ALCOHOL, TOBACCO AND OTHER DRUG PREVENTION COMMITTEE

• Faculty Member, 2 year term

Troy Lescher

APPOINTMENTS AND ELECTIONS COMMITTEE (AEC)

• Two Faculty Members, 1 year terms

Brandice Gonzalez-Guerra Julia Alderson

CENTER FOR COMMUNITY BASED LEARNING (formerly CSLAI)

• Faculty Member from CPS, 1 year term

Meenal Rana

COMMITTEE ON FACULTY RTP CRITERIA AND STANDARDS

Faculty Member from CAHSS, 3 year term

Sondra Schwetman

• Faculty Member from CNRS, 3 year term

Yvonne Everett

• Faculty Member from CPS, 3 year term

Whitney Ogle

CONSTITUTIONS AND BYLAWS COMMITTEE

• Two Faculty Members, 2 year terms

Jeffrey Abell Joice Chang

DISABILITY, ACCESS, AND COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE

• Faculty Member, 2 year terms

Jill Pawlowski

FACULTY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

• Faculty Senator, 3 year term

Katia Karadjova

• Faculty Member (At-Large), 3 year term

Abeer Hasan

• Faculty Member (At-Large), 3 year term

George Wrenn

FACULTY AWARDS COMMITTEE

• Three Faculty Members - 1 year terms

Eugene Novotney Whitney Ogle Alexandru Tomescu

INSTRUCTIONALLY RELATED ACTIVITIES COMMITTEE (IRA)

The Appointments and Elections Committee recommend candidates to the President for final appointment.

Three Faculty Members, 1 year terms

Ramesh Adhikari Jamey Harris Aaron Donaldson

INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS ADVISORY COMMITTEE (IAAC)

• Faculty Member, 2 year term

Shelia Alcea

INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

• Two Faculty Members from CAHSS, 3 year terms

Tony Silvaggio Garrick Woods

INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS SCREENING COMMITTEE

• Three Faculty Members, 3 year terms

Meenal Rana Katia Karadjova Ramesh Adhikari

PARKING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

• Two Faculty Members, busiun 1 year terms

Eugene Novotney Bo Burrus

STUDENT GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE

• Faculty Member from CPS, 3 year term

Taylor Bloedon

UNIVERSITY CENTER BOARD

Candidates are recommended by the Appointments and Elections Committee for final approval from the UC Board.

Three Faculty Members, 2 year terms

Mark Rizzardi Armeda Reitzel Jayne McGuire (1 semester)

UNIVERSITY RESOURCES AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

• Faculty Member, 2 year terms

Mark Rizzardi

UNIVERSITY POLICIES COMMITTEE

• Faculty Member, 1 year

Troy Lescher

UNIVERSITY SPORTS FACILITIES SCHEDULING ADVISORY GROUP

Faculty Member, 1 year term

Tony Silvaggio

AEC Continues to solicit nominations for the following positions:

Elected Position Openings:

UNIVERSITY FACULTY PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (UFPC)

Faculty serving on the UFPC will receive 6 units of Assigned Time per year. Please note: faculty participating in the Faculty Early Retirement Program are eligible for nomination after receiving approval from the Provost.

Faculty Member (CNRS), 2 year term

Please refer to the following page for information regarding the duties of the UFPC: http://www2.humboldt.edu/senate/ufpc

Appointed Position Openings:

CENTER FOR COMMUNITY BASED LEARNING (formerly CSLAI)

• Faculty Member (CNRS), 2 year term

Duties: The Committee advises and provides direction to the Coordinator of Service Learning/Experiential Education through the formulation of policies, procedures, and strategic planning for the University's Service Learning and Experiential Education Initiative.

GEAR (General Ed & All-University Requirements) CURRICULUM AND ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

• Faculty Member (CAHSS), 3 year term

Duties: Provide ongoing review & improvement of GEAR learning outcomes in conjunction with GEAR faculty; provide guidance and coordination for the GEAR assessment of those outcomes; collate and interpret aggregate GEAR assessment data and report results to the ICC; provide recommendations for GEAR curricular and instructional changes based on assessment results.

INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- Faculty Member (CPS), 3 year term
- Faculty Member (CPS), 3 year term

Duties: Provides advisory support for, and promotes programs and initiatives that foster international educational opportunities for the campus community.

INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS SCREENING COMMITTEE

• Faculty Counselor, 3 year term

Duties: Review applications, interview applicants, and submit recommendations to the Chancellor's Office.

UNIVERSITY RESOURCES AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

• Faculty Member, 2 year term

Preference for appointment will be given to Faculty Senators.

Please refer to <u>Senate Bylaws</u>, Section 11.3 for committee description.

Constitution and Bylaws Committee:

Submitted by Michael Le, CBC Chair

Wednesday, January 24, 1:00pm – 1:50pm; Nelson Hall 119 Members Present:

- Jeremy Shellhase, Faculty (2016-2018)
- Joseph McDonald, Student (2017-2018)

- Joice Chang, Faculty (2016-2018)
- Michael Le, Staff Senator, Chair (2017-2018)
- Leena Dallasheh, Faculty (2017-2019)

Members Absent:

• Mary Watson, Parliamentarian, Staff (2016-2018)

Quorum: Yes: majority of committee members with at least one representative from the faculty and at least one representative from either staff or students.

Business:

Policy on working groups, councils, committees, and other groups convened to conduct business on behalf of the institution.

- a. **Description:** CBC has been asked for a Constitution and Bylaws Interpretation of who is included in the campus community and should be allowed to attend Senate Meetings. This evolved into the CBC is researching sunshine laws (Ralph M. Brown Act and the Bagley-Keene Act) about public and nonpublic meeting types. CBC was advised by Alison N. Kleaver, University Counsel that HSU committees are not subject to either of these laws as Ralph M. Brown Act applies to legislative bodies of local agencies and Bagley-Keene Act applies to units doing business on behalf of the state.
- b. **Action:** CBC is researching principles from these two laws and Peer CSU policies on defining Committees, Workgroups, task forces, Councils, associations, and other groups convened to conduct business on behalf of the university. In addition CBC is looking for guidelines for the "group's" responsibility to be transparent (e.g., publish meetings dates/times, agenda, and meeting minutes).
- c. Assignments based on "Jigsaw" Method
 - i. Joice Chang: Ralph M. Brown Ac
 - ii. Jeremy Shellhase: Peer CSU Policy
 - iii. Leena Dallasheh: Bagley-Keene Ac
 - iv. Mary Watson: HSU Policy
 - v. Michael Le: CSU System Policy
 - vi. Joseph McDonald: Peer CSU Policy
- d. Senate Executive Update: On 2/13/2018 SenEx assigned the creation of this policy to CBC
- e. Timeline:
 - i. February 21, 2018 (CBC meeting) Share research findings
 - ii. March 7, 2018 (CBC meeting) CBC review first draft
 - iii. March 28, 2018 (CBC meeting) CBC review first reading and vote to send to SenEx (4/3/18)
 - iv. April 3, 2018 (SenEx) Approval for Senate Agenda
 - v. April 10, 2018 (Senate) First Reading
 - vi. April 24, 2018 (Senate) Second Reading, official vote

Faculty Affairs Committee:

Submitted by George Wrenn, FAC Chair

Meetings are open to the campus community. The Committee meets every other Thursday at 9 a.m. in Library 118.

The Faculty Affairs Committee addresses matters involving the individual or collective relationship of faculty to the University. The Committee can be reached though the Senate's Faculty Affairs web page: https://www2.humboldt.edu/senate/faculty-affairs-committee.

February 15 Agenda and Meeting Notes

Members Present: Renée Byrd, Monty Mola, Colleen Mullery, Marissa O'Neill, Mark Wilson, George Wrenn (chair)

Agenda:

- 1. Department Chair assigned time review of feedback and next steps
- 2. Tenure Track Density discuss content of a resolution
- 3. Faculty Awards revisions to criteria, committee composition, application process

Meeting Notes:

- 1. Department Chair assigned time review of feedback and next steps
 - a. Mola briefed the committee on his February 8th presentation to Department Chairs and summarized feedback. The group discussed various aspects of chair assigned time: ten vs. twelve month appointments; the cost of full-time appointments; the continuing importance of negotiation in addressing the complexities and unique circumstances of chair assignments; how to arrive at a meaningful calculation of units; comparison of Sac State's modeling to current support levels; the efficacy of Sac State's formula for an institution with fewer students; grant-funded program leads; and the inclusion of program leads in a policy.
 - b. The Committee agreed that a policy that establishes a baseline for department chair assigned time should be developed. Mola will draft policy language for discussion at next week's Faculty Affairs meeting.
- 2. Tenure Track Density discuss content of a resolution
 - The committee reviewed a draft resolution developed by the Chair. The Committee will
 review and revise with the intention of putting forward a Sense of Senate resolution in
 March.
- 3. Faculty Awards revisions to criteria, committee composition, application process
 - a. The Committee Chair shared feedback received from members of the Faculty Awards Committee regarding ways to streamline the awards process. Wrenn had asked the Awards Committee to consider these changes:
 - i. Nomination letter from Department Head, Chair or designee

- ii. Requiring up to three letters of support (two pages maximum) (for teaching awards, one letter from a student)
- iii. Require an abbreviated CV only
- iv. Word limit on statement of Teaching/Scholarship (one page)
- v. Summary only of student evaluations (instead of last six years [sic])
- b. Feedback from the current Faculty Awards chair indicated strong support for continuing with full CV; maintaining current nomination process; and making various adjustments to the submission process, including:
 - i. Require table of contents;
 - ii. Impose two-page limit on statements of teaching/scholarship;
 - iii. Require a teaching statement from every applicant;
 - iv. Require at least four letters of support;
 - v. Submit materials in a combined PDF.
- c. The Committee discussed various topics:
 - i. Justifications for changing the current awards process;
 - ii. Structural changes that could be made, including awards at the college level;
 - iii. The importance of gearing nomination guidelines to magnitude of award (i.e., maintaining stricter criteria for Outstanding Professor);
 - iv. How to better recognize and honor the many examples and forms of teaching excellence;
 - v. Instituting new awards that honor community service (previously proposed to Senate) and diversity and inclusion efforts.
- d. Discussion will continue.

University Policies Committee:

Submitted by Justus Ortega, UPC Chair

February 16th Agenda and Meeting Notes

- 1. Approve minutes of Feb. 2, 2018
- 2. Discuss HSU Policy Website (https://policy.humboldt.edu/). Committee met with Kay Liboldt of the President's office and discussed suggestions for improving the site including structure of site, ability to navigate, and content (e.g. outdated policies, etc.). Look at Sac state Policy site for comparison (http://www.csus.edu/umanual/).
- 3. The UPC also discussed role in updating policies on website. Using previous provost's notes, the UPC has identified several outdated policies for further review and/or elimination.
- 4. Kim Comet discusses Temporary Food Policy- Tabled until next UPC meeting.

Academic Senate CSU (ASCSU):

Submitted by Mary Ann Creadon and Erick Eschker, ASCSU Representatives

On February 12, the Chancellor's Office released a memo to campus presidents proposing amendments to Title 5 that would give CSU permanent authority to offer a Doctor of Nursing Practice degree. The vote on these changes will take place at the March Board of Trustees meeting. Feedback from campuses is due to the Chancellor's Office by February 26. A copy of the memo accompanies this report.

Also on February 12, the Intersegmental Committee of Academic Senates (ICAS) sent a joint letter to the leaders of the three systems to request that they provide physical support locations and services for DACA and Dreamer students that would be available to any student of the three segments. *A copy of that letter accompanies this report*.

On January 29, Chancellor White sent a document attached to a memo to ASCSU Chair Chris Miller on the CSU Commitment to Inclusive Excellence. The document elaborates on the place of free speech in the CSU and on the CSU's commitment to inclusive excellence. This document also went to campus presidents and to the CSSA leadership. *The memo and the attached document accompany this report. See below.*



Academic Programs and Faculty Development 401 Golden Shore, 6th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802-4210

www.calstate.edu

Christine Mallon, Ph.D. Assistant Vice Chancellor Phone 562-951-4672 Fax 562-951-4982 cmallon @calstate.edu

MEMORANDUM

Please request changes by February 26, 2018

Date: February 12, 2018

To: CSU Presidents

From: Christine Mallon,

Assistant Vice Chancellor, Academic Programs and Faculty Development

Subject: Policy Changes: Doctor of Nursing Practice Title 5 Regulations

Attached for your review and comment by February 26, 2018 are draft Title 5 amendments regarding California State University (CSU) Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) degree programs. The amendments reflect changes brought about by <u>Assembly Bill 422</u> California State University: Doctor of Nursing Practice Degree Program (Arambula), which was signed by Governor Brown on October 12, 2017. Proposed amendments to the following Title 5 regulations will be presented as an information item at the March 2018 trustees meeting and for action at the May 2018 meeting. *If you wish to recommend changes, please e-mail your comments to me at feedback@calstate.edu*.

40050.2

Establishes CSU independent authority to offer DNP degrees.

40100.1

Updates Education Code sections specified in the "Reference" citations.

40513

Expands previously established DNP degree program scope, allowing post-baccalaureate entry (as well as post-master's entry) and removing the pilot end date. Establishes 80 percent residence requirement, in reflection of postbaccalaureate entry. Reflects professional convention for language regarding the doctoral project. Updates Education Code sections specified in the "Authority" and "Reference" citations.

40514

Updates Education Code sections specified in the "Authority" and "Reference" citations.

41021

Admission requirements are updated to allow post-baccalaureate entry (as well as post-master's entry). Updates Education Code sections specified in the "Authority" and "Reference" citations.

c: Dr. Loren J. Blanchard, Executive Vice Chancellor for Academic and Student Affairs

CSU Campuses	Fresno	Monterey Bay	San Francisco
Bakersfield	Fullerton	Northridge	San José
Channel Islands	Humboldt	Pomona	San Luis Obispo
Chico	Long Beach	Sacramento	San Marcos
Dominguez Hills	Los Angeles	San Bernardino	Sonoma
East Bay	Maritime Academy	San Diego	Stanislaus

January 29, 2018 BAKERSFIELD

CHANNEL ISLANDS

CHICO

EAST BAY

MEMORANDUM

DOMINGUEZ HILLS

TO: Christine Miller, Chair

Academic Senate

FROM: FRESNO

Timothy P. White

Chancellor

FULLERTON

SUBJECT: The CSU Commitment to Inclusive Excellence

HUMBOLDT LONG BEACH

Please find attached a document titled *The CSU Commitment to Inclusive Excellence*.

LOS ANGELES

A shared commitment to inclusive excellence permeates many of our most challenging and rewarding discussions and actions. It is inherent to the CSU mission. It is also a

MARITIME ACADEMY

central tenet of existing law, system policy and campus practice.

MONTEREY BAY

My hope is that the attached document helps better draw the connection between our principles, policy and practice as we strive toward ever greater excellence through inclusion.

NORTHRIDGE

SACRAMENTO

POMONA

I am also distributing this document to campus leadership teams and California State Student Association leadership.

SAN BERNARDINO

Attachment

SAN DIEGO

SAN FRANCISCO

SAN JOSÉ

SAN LUIS OBISPO

SAN MARCOS

SONOMA

STANISLAUS

The CSU Commitment to Inclusive Excellence Chancellor Timothy P. White California State University



January 29, 2018

The California State University (CSU) is committed to fostering a vibrant community of students, faculty, staff and administrators, all focused on the many dimensions of student success and academic excellence. On several occasions as Chancellor, I have spoken or written about the CSU's and my personal commitment to inclusive excellence, underscoring its traditional values, especially the tenets of engaging and welcoming a rich diversity of people, voices and ideas. I also have expressed a more contemporary understanding, namely intellectual empowerment through equitable access to and engagement in excellent education, matched with opportunity for free expression. Indeed, it is the melding of these fundamental understandings of inclusive excellence – equitable participation and free expression – that enables the CSU to meet the needs and growing demands of a diverse global society.

Our commitment to the bedrock values of inclusive excellence will remain steadfast and is at the core of our day-to-day activities and in the lives of university community members who strive to create just and rigorous learning and discovery environments for all persons.

It is important for the CSU to always stand visibly for the values of inclusive excellence by promoting and sustaining equitable, physically safe, nurturing and challenging academic environments for all students, faculty, staff and guests. I remain firmly and unalterably committed to advancing these values at the CSU, as do the campus presidents, system-level executive leadership, our leadership teams and members of the university community.

The CSU Commitment to Values of Inclusive Excellence

Commitment to Inclusion and Engagement

The California State University values diversity and fosters understanding and mutual respect. We are committed to all members of the university community being afforded equitable opportunities to learn, discover and serve, as well as having equitable opportunities for personal development and engagement with others on campus and in the global community. In addition to the importance of this kind of authentic access, we know that academic excellence at the CSU depends on the higher and richer learning that occurs for all students when a university is diverse in its people, programs and shared ideas.

The CSU encourages members of our community to express and share their viewpoints, experiences and world views so that we may learn from each other. The CSU community aspires to respect differences and find commonalities while recognizing that many individuals may be encountering challenging and unfamiliar opinions and viewpoints for the first time. Initially, some individuals may not be prepared to respond to differing beliefs and perspectives. As a university we can and must assist members of our community to be prepared for civil

discourse and effective analysis and research, so they may develop an understanding of even those viewpoints or opinions they might find unacceptable. Indeed, the learning that occurs from challenging encounters can promote growth and development within our university community, even when individuals experience something that they find repugnant or inconsistent with their values and upbringing.

The CSU is dedicated to recognizing and understanding the vast variety of personal experiences, histories, societal or institutional barriers, world views and perspectives that come to bear on important political, intellectual, environmental, economic and social matters, and to respecting differences when and where they exist.

Commitment to an Environment Free from Discrimination, Harassment and Retaliation

A CSU core value is that all individuals within our community have the right to participate – free from unlawful discrimination, harassment and retaliation – in all university programs and activities. We commit to providing an accessible and intellectually challenging environment where all people are physically safe even if their ideas are challenged, sometimes strongly.

University leaders must also be attentive and responsive when anyone on campus feels excluded or unsafe, especially if a particular voice or group of voices is being silenced through intimidation or interference, because such limitations can lead to unequal learning environments and undermine our commitment to inclusive excellence.

Unlawful incidents of discriminatory, disruptive or criminal behavior have no place at the CSU, whether driven by anti-Semitism, bigotry, homophobia, Islamophobia, misogyny, religious animosity, sexual harassment or any other form of discrimination, implicit bias, prejudice or hate. Campuses must be attentive to such behaviors, and any such incidents must be reported immediately to university leaders, including campus police if necessary, and must be appropriately and fairly investigated. Individuals who are found responsible must be held accountable through student, faculty or staff discipline processes, and the criminal justice system when appropriate.

The CSU is committed to providing appropriate support for those community members who have been directly affected by incidents of discrimination, harassment, retaliation and criminal behavior.

Commitment to Free Speech

As a public university and consistent with our mission and requirements of both federal and state constitutions and laws, the CSU is committed to free thought and speech, including the right for all constituencies on our campuses to protest lawfully. This commitment is sacrosanct even when – or perhaps especially when – many of us strongly disagree with or find repulsive, abhorrent or hateful the viewpoints offered, as long as the activity is lawful and comports with our campus time, place and manner policies, which do not discriminate with regard to content

or viewpoint. The expression of differing viewpoints and perspectives, as well as earnest and civil debates, are fundamental to the CSU mission and values and to democracy itself, and such expressions indeed are encouraged.

While we profoundly support the tenets of academic freedom and free speech – and concur that all members of the university are entitled to have their voices heard and considered on their own merits – it can be difficult when such speech conflicts with our values of inclusive excellence. The CSU, its faculty, staff, students and the community at large, are best served when all members, including the administration, contest and challenge speech and behaviors that, although protected and lawful, reflect bias, hate, bigotry, intolerance or contain misleading and untruthful assertions. Indeed, without challenge, we enable the normalization of intolerant or untruthful speech and the opinions therein as acceptable viewpoints. In response to protected but hateful or misleading speech, our reactions must be measured and may not interfere with the rights of others to gather, speak and engage in dialogue.

Commitment to Continual Improvement

New and continuing students, staff, faculty and administrators should seize every opportunity to be sufficiently educated through orientations, training programs and professional development opportunities and to be prepared to recognize all types of prejudice. Because no individual, institution or system of universities is perfect when articulating or applying the values of inclusive excellence, the system and campuses will revise the policies and practices as circumstances and needs evolve.

Policy Directives Uphold CSU's Commitment to Inclusive Excellence

The CSU commitment to inclusive excellence is reflected in several policy directives at the system and campus levels. The following section summarizes the fundamental requirements that apply to the Chancellor's Office and to all campuses and their satellite facilities, with the understanding that campuses build from system-level policy foundation and statute in developing local campus-based policies and practices.

The commitment to inclusion and engagement is codified in part by CSU Executive Orders 1096 and 1097, and by Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations. Related policy language includes:

Executive Orders 1096 and 1097: The CSU is committed to maintaining an inclusive community that values diversity and fosters tolerance and mutual respect. We embrace and encourage our community differences in Age, Disability (physical and mental), Gender (or sex), Gender Identity (including transgender), Gender Expression, Genetic Information, Marital Status, Medical Condition, Nationality, Race or Ethnicity (including color or ancestry), Religion (or Religious Creed), Sexual Orientation, and Veteran or Military Status, and other characteristics that make our community unique.

Title 5 §41301: The University is committed to maintaining a safe and healthy living and learning environment for students, faculty, and staff. Each member of the campus community should choose behaviors that contribute toward this end. Students are expected to be good citizens and to engage in responsible behaviors that reflect well upon their university, to be civil to one another and to others in the campus community, and contribute positively to student and university life.

The commitment to an environment free from discrimination, harassment and retaliation is codified in part by Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations. Related policy language includes:

Title 5 §41301: Student behavior that is not consistent with the Student Conduct Code is addressed through an educational process that is designed to promote safety and good citizenship and, when necessary, impose appropriate consequences. The following are the grounds upon which student discipline can be based... (3) Willful, material and substantial disruption or obstruction of a University-related activity, or any on-campus activity. (4) Participating in an activity that substantially and materially disrupts the normal operations of the University, or infringes on the rights of members of the University community. (5) Willful, material and substantial obstruction of the free flow of pedestrian or other traffic, on or leading to campus property or an off-campus University related activity. (6) Disorderly, lewd, indecent, or obscene behavior at a University related activity, or directed toward a member of the University community. (7) Conduct that threatens or endangers the health or safety of any person within or related to the University community, including physical abuse, threats, intimidation, harassment, or sexual misconduct.

The commitment to free speech is codified in part by Section 66301 of the California Education Code. Related statutory language includes:

California Education Code §66301: (a) Neither the Regents of the University of California, the Trustees of the California State University, the governing board of a community college district, nor an administrator of any campus of those institutions, shall make or enforce a rule subjecting a student to disciplinary sanction solely on the basis of conduct that is speech or other communication that, when engaged in outside a campus of those institutions, is protected from governmental restriction by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution or Section 2 of Article I of the California Constitution... (c) This section does not authorize a prior restraint of student speech or the student press. (d) This section does not prohibit the imposition of discipline for harassment, threats, or intimidation, unless constitutionally protected. (e) This section does not prohibit an institution from adopting rules and regulations that are designed to prevent hate violence... if the rules and regulations conform to standards established by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and Section 2 of Article I of the California Constitution for citizens generally. (f) An employee shall not be dismissed, suspended, disciplined, reassigned, transferred, or otherwise retaliated against solely for acting to protect a student engaged in conduct authorized under this section, or refusing to infringe upon conduct that is protected by this section, the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, or Section 2 of Article I of the California Constitution.

References (This is a partial list of related policies. Numerous guidelines at the campus, system, state and federal level operationalize the values outlined in this summary.)

California Education Code §66301, Leonard Law to protect First Amendment rights of students http://law.onecle.com/california/education/66301.html

Executive Order 1096. Systemwide Policy Prohibiting Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, Sexual Misconduct, Dating and Domestic Violence, and Stalking against Employees and Third Parties and Systemwide Procedure for Addressing Such Complaints by Employees and Third Parties. https://csyou.calstate.edu/Employee- Resources/Emp-Policies-Programs/Documents/EO 1096 Rev 10-5-16.pdf

Executive Order 1097. Systemwide Policy Prohibiting Discrimination, Harassment and Retaliation, Sexual Misconduct, Dating and Domestic Violence, and Stalking against Students and Systemwide Procedure for Addressing Such Complaints by Students. https://csyou.calstate.edu/Employee-Resources/Emp-Policies-Programs/Documents/EO 1097 Rev 10-5-16.pdf

Title 5 §41301 Standards for Student Conduct.

 $\frac{https://govt.westlaw.com/calregs/Document/I327905D0D48311DEBC02831C6D6C108E?viewType=FullText\&origington the following statem and the following statement and the following statement statement and the following statement stateme$









INTERSECUENTAL COMMITTEE OF ACADEMIC SENATES

February 12, 2018

President Janet Napolitano Office of the President, University of California (UC) 1111 Franklin St., 12th Floor Oakland, CA 94607 Chancellor Timothy White California State University (CSU) Office of the Chancellor 401 Golden Shore Long Beach, CA 90802 Chancellor Eloy Oakley California Community Colleges (CCC) Chancellor's Office 1102 Q Street, Suite 4400 Sacramento, CA 95811

Dear UC President Janet Napolitano, CSU Chancellor Timothy White, and CCC Chancellor Eloy Oakley:

Thank you for your recent statements, collectively and individually, about the importance of our Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) students. As you are aware, California has the largest concentration of DACA students in the country, and they represent some of the best hope for our future.

We are writing to request an additional measure of support from you as President and Chancellors of California's public higher education institutions. Many of our DACA and Dreamer students have needed legal assistance and other forms of support in the wake of the federal administration's decision to rescind the DACA program last September.

Unfortunately, not all of our campuses have support services in place to assist these students. While all nine of the University of California undergraduate campuses have physical support centers for DACA, temporary protected status (TPS), and undocumented students, only about half of the California State University campuses have a dedicated support location, and less than half of the California Community Colleges have permanently dedicated personnel or physical support locations to assist these students.

We request that you jointly explore the possibility of expanding these support services and, if feasible, allow DACA and Dreamer students to use the support facilities and services at any UC, CSU or CCC campus statewide, regardless of their enrollment in a different system, without fear of repercussion or retribution and without need for payment. The difference such a gesture will make to our students will be incalculable.

Thank you again for your continued support of DACA students across the state and we look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Christine Miller

Christine M. Miller

Chair, California State University Academic Senate Chair, Intersegmental Committee of Academic Senates Julie Bruno

President, Academic Senate for California Community Colleges Shane White

Chair, University of California Academic Senate

Administrative Affairs:

Submitted by Douglas Dawes, Interim Vice President of Administrative Affairs

Facilities

- Facilities presented a draft of the Campus Space Management Policy Implementation guidelines to URPC on February 9
- Capital Projects website launched: <u>HSU Capital Projects</u>
 The site includes updates for the seismic retrofit projects at the Library and Theater Arts
 Procurement
 - Revising forms to include vice president signature line to assist with the spending of \$2,000 or more

Risk Management

- There has been a distinct increase in Natural Area Entrance Permit requests. These
 permits are for use of city property (Arcata Community Forest, Marsh & Wildlife
 Sanctuary). This is affecting the City a bit and sometimes the processing takes longer
 than desired. We are working with the City whether to alter the process to relieve some
 burden from them but continue the experience for the student (working through the
 permit process), continue to communicate any after-hours use of the areas, and
 continue to share the University's research results.
- The campus wide safety committee was re-established last academic year. This year has had regularly scheduled meetings with good attendance.
- Historically we have used an outside vendor to conduct respirator fit testing (at approx. \$20 per person, the rate fluctuated). In order to limit costs, we now conduct these tests. Occupational Safety recently conducted 25 tests for facility management and housing.
- Since our online defensive driving class was a success we will soon be releasing a food safety course. Presently we pay \$15 per person to an outside vendor for this service.
- Reminder we have 10 slots per month for CPR classes (in McKinleyville). Please sign up with Paul Vrabel.
- We have been recently notified that we will have a business continuity audit this summer.

Budget

 Reminder - updates related to Budget can be found here: <u>HSU Budget Planning, Status</u> and <u>Updates</u>

President's Office:

Submitted by Lisa Rossbacher, President, Humboldt State University

I regret that I will be unable to attend the University Senate meeting on February 20. I'll be at the CSU Chancellor's Office in Long Beach attending the Council of Presidents' meeting.

As the Senate will undoubtedly be discussing today, a number of steps have already been taken to bring HSU's spending into alignment with our resources. We must, as a University community, reduce spending (and eliminate overspending) or increase revenues by \$9 million. As a reminder from the February 2 budget forum, the following actions have already been taken or are in progress:

- Reducing current year operating expense budgets by 5%;
- Continuing Cabinet review of all staff and administrator hiring requests;
- Aligning all course offerings for 2018-19 with demonstrated student course need and available resources;
- Requiring all travel and all expenditures of \$2,000 or more to be approved by the appropriate vice president;
- Incorporating campus feedback into the budget reduction plan that will be sent to the University Resources and Planning Committee on February 22; and
- Benchmarking HSU's spending by function (i.e., FIRMS code) with CSU system data.

I will forward a proposed budget plan to URPC on February 22. This plan will also be posted on the University Budget Office website. This budget plan will have several critical elements, including emphasizing its context, identifying activities that we will restructure or discontinue, and highlighting the connection of actions to our values. Parts of the budget plan are still being developed, and in some cases additional information is needed to be able to make informed and data-based decisions. As a result, some aspects of the plan may be less specific than some members of the University community want or expect. I assure you that the details of this plan will continue to become more specific over the coming days and weeks.

The short-term steps that have already been identified include the elimination of an estimated 40-50 budgeted staff and administrator positions. Employees are a key strategic asset to the University, and I am committed to addressing these reductions through vacant positions and reassignments to the greatest extent possible. To date, no determination has been made that any layoff procedures need to be implemented.

I deeply appreciate the University Senate's support and understanding of the critical importance of addressing our serious budget deficit immediately.

As always, I am available to answer questions.