

**HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY**  
**University Senate**

**Sense of the Senate**  
**Resolution on Delaying Implementation of EO 1100 (Revised) and EO 1110**

05-17/18-EX – October 3, 2017

WHEREAS: California State University Executive Order 1100 (EO 1100 Revised) and Executive Order 1110 (EO 1110) were issued over the summer without the opportunity for meaningful consultation with Humboldt State University faculty, staff, and students; and

WHEREAS: The rushed implementation requirements in the executive orders usurp faculty governance of curriculum, and ignore the needs of faculty, staff and students to understand the meaning and consequences of the executive orders; and

WHEREAS: The implementation deadline of Fall 2018 for EO 1100 Revised and for parts of EO 1110 place an undue burden on faculty and staff to acquire the resources and secure the collaboration necessary to effectively implement the changes demanded in these executive orders in a way that will result in greater student success; therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the University Senate of Humboldt State University request that the Chancellor of the California State University delay implementation of EO 1100 Revised and EO 1110 by one year to Fall 2019.

*RATIONALE:* The curriculum is the purview of the faculty, and sweeping changes to general education and academic preparation require years rather than months to design. Complying with EOs 1100 Revised and 1110 requires a large effort, and resources and thoughtful collaboration on the part of students, faculty and staff as we work through the revisions required in the executive orders. Moreover, the current timeline will require us to break our established timelines for curricular modifications. The requests for a delay in implementation, or resolutions of concern about the timeline throughout the system, includes over one half of the other campuses, as well as AS-3304-17 of the ASCSU, and a letter to Chancellor White from the Chancellor's General Education Advisory Committee (GEAC) asking for a delay in implementation of both executive orders. The GEAC letter notes that the representative CSU articulation officer on that committee declared that more than half the CSU articulation officers in the system wish for a delay in implementation. It is also notable that the ASCSU resolution was co-written and sponsored by three committees of the statewide academic senate, a rare collaboration and convergence of the concerns of those committees. Finally, both CSU English Council and CSU Math Council have expressed their deep concerns about the rushed timeline for implementation of EO 1110 especially, as those disciplines are most severely taxed with the implementation of that executive order. All of these bodies agree that the timeline does not allow for thoughtful changes and an altered curriculum that has the integrity to ensure student success.

Distribute to:

CSU Chancellor

CSU Board of Trustees

CSU Executive Vice Chancellor for Academic and Student Affairs

Academic Senate of the CSU

Campus Senate Chairs

California State Student Association

CSU Emeritus and Retired Faculty Association

CSU Math Council

CSU English Council